

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/685,815 10/14/2003 Lee W. Squyres TED-PT002.1 120 **EXAMINER** 3624 7590 07/08/2004 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. SELF, SHELLEY M UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET

> 3725 DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4			10 0	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		10/685,815	SQUYRES, LEE W.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Shelley Self	3725	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NO   - Failuran   - Any I   - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
_	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement		
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau		•	
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	Q
	or No(s)/Mail Date			$\overline{\lambda}$

Application/Control Number: 10/685,815

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on June 5, 2004 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the prior art reference.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,666133. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are merely re-worded and do not define any patentably different structure from that of the Patent, '133.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zacharias (4,939,989) as noted in the previous office Action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2004 have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art reference, Zacharias fails to disclose a central area defined by the annular frame. Applicant argues that Zacharias discloses a central area defined by rollers (40, 42) and not by annular frame (18), however the rollers (40, 42) of Zacharias are part of the annular frame (18), as Zacharias defines the frame (18) as having sections (22, 24) about which rollers (40, 42) are associated for defining a central area; therefore, the central area (30) of Zacharias is defined by the annular frame. Accordingly the rejection is proper and stands.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3725

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is (703) 305-5299. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be reached at (703) 308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIE or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSelf July 6, 2004

ALLEN OSTRAGER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700